

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/646,424 08/22/2003		Wieslaw Maciejczyk	BSG (A) P16AUS	9164
20210	7590 08/04/2005		EXAMINER	
DAVIS & B	UJOLD, P.L.L.C.		BARFIELD, ANTI	IONY DERRELL
	MERCIAL STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MANCHESTER, NH 03101-1151			3636	
•			DATE MAILED, 09/04/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/646,424	MACIEJCZYK, WIESLAW		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Anthony D. Barfield	3636		

Advisory Action	10/646,424 MACIEJCZYK,		VIESLAW		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	Anthony D. Barfield	3636			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addi	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 20 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•			
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.			
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL). which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection) and the appropriate exte The appropriate extensio final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	nsion fee have n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) y reduce any		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must b AMENDMENTS 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)) be filed within the time period set fo), to avoid dismissal o orth in 37 CFR 41.37(a	of the appeal. a).		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in below appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); educing or simplifying			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an o	∍xplanation of		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar. 10. The affidavit or other evidence is antered. An evidence is antered.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a 1).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ned.		
11. \square The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	Anthony (D Barfield			
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3836	V		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

✓ Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendment to claim 1 would require further consideration and/or search.